

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/594,625	NAKAMURA, MASARU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW GRUBB	4126	

All Participants:

(1) MATTHEW GRUBB.

(2) Joseph Wrkich.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

4 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:30 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussion was made with attorney in regard to the corrections to be made to claims 4 and 7 for clarification resulting in an authorized Examiner's Amendment including:

- Claim 4, line 20, insert - according to an overcurrent detection result from the overcurrent detection circuit and - after "constant current".
- Claim 7, line 1, change "according to claim 3" to -according to claim 4-..